

# JTIT Template – Title of the Article

John Smith<sup>1</sup> and Jane Doe<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University name, City, Country,

<sup>2</sup>University of Hogwarts Legacy, City, Country

<https://doi.org/10.26636/jtit.2026.1.23456>

**Abstract** — Below, you'll find text fragments, equations, tables, algorithms, figures, and illustrations that can be easily modified. We show you how to use symbols, links, lists, references, and section layouts. There are also sample tables with different layouts, so you don't have to create them from scratch. Paste your article's abstract here, replacing this sample text.

**Keywords** — Keywords in alphabetical order, up to 5 items please

## 1. Introduction

One of the OFDM system disadvantages is its sensitivity to frequency offset and phase noise, which lead to losing the orthogonality between the sub-carriers and thereby degrade the system performance. In this paper a joint scheme for frequency offset and pilot-based channel estimation is introduced in which the frequency offset is first estimated using an autocorrelation method, and then is fined further by applying an iterative phase correction by means of pilot-based Wiener filtering method. In order to verify the capability of the estimation algorithm, the scheme has been implemented and tested using a real measurement system in a multipath indoor environment. The results show the algorithm capability of compensating for the frequency offset with different transmission and channel conditions.

The chaotic signals have some properties such as broadband, orthogonality and complexity aspects, which motivate researches in the area of communication and signal processing to investigate if chaos based communication offers advantages over classical communication systems in the last years [1] – [2].

The power of the second component, initially negligible, increases with time due to rising number of fiber cracks and other defects serving as couplers for external radiation. Thermal radiation may interfere with measurements of fiber attenuation during fire test, but is rather unlikely to prevent data transmission with typical GbE and 10 GbE transceivers during fire. Remedies to this problem, which can be combined, include use of single mode fibers instead of multimode fibers, filters for blocking thermal radiation with bandpass, and proper selection of emitter power, wavelength and photodetector [3], [4].

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 reviews different conventional schemes, while Section 3 portrays the model of a CRN. Section 4 describes the approach developed by the authors. Section 5 discusses the results achieved, while Section 6 presents the conclusions.

**Tab. 1.** Simulation parameters for the first scenario.

| Parameter                  | Value                  |
|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Number of nodes            | 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 |
| Duration of simulation     | 260 s                  |
| Routing algorithm          | RPL                    |
| Packet size                | 90 bytes               |
| Packet transmission period | 60 s                   |

## 2. Related Works

Many researchers have used various feeding techniques in recent years to develop MPAs of various shapes and used them in various applications. Sharma proposed, in [2], a small, high gain multiband antenna with a glass-shaped radiating patch and a rectangular ground plane. A unique rectangular-shaped, DGS-based effective multi-band frequency reconfigurable antenna was proposed by Sathikbasha *et al.* in [5]. A portable multi-band MPA with resonances at 23.9, 35.5, and 70.9 GHz, suitable for 5G mobile applications, was demonstrated by Punith *et al.* [6].

A graphene-packed dual band mmWave antenna for 28.1 and 37.4 GHz with a DC bias was proposed by Luo *et al.* [7]. A tiny, portable ultra-wideband microstrip antenna for 5G applications was developed by Araujo *et al.* [8], while a small and dual-polarized triple-band antenna for sub-6 GHz 5G applications was created by Alieldin *et al.* [9].

To examine formulation of conventions, Kandori *et al.* [3] deal with  $2 \times 2$  plain text in math mode coordination games which are repeatedly played by randomly matched pairs of players in a population. Fagiolo [9] focus on locality of interaction between players. Redondo [10] consider location models where the population is divided into several groups and players choose which group to join. In the recent years, similar attempts in network environments have been attracting attention. In such models, players are allowed to choose partners for playing the game as well as actions in the game. It should be noted that the network game models are related with studies on formation of networks [2] (Tab. 1).

Some of the issues faced by the conventional approaches include the following:

- 1) The use of machine learning in the resource allocation mechanism [4].
- 2) The significant difficulties continue to be faced [5].

### 3. System Description

To simplify the analysis, we consider a downlink NOMA system with a base station and two users to derive the SINRs and sum rates. Additionally, we assume that the base station and users are equipped with a single antenna and the system bandwidth  $B$  is one. The information-bearing signals,  $x_N$  for the near user  $UE_1$  and  $x_F$  for the far user  $UE_2$ , are superimposed at the transmitter as follows:

$$x = \sqrt{P_N}x_N + \sqrt{P_F}x_F, \quad (1)$$

where  $P_N$  and  $P_F$  denote the transmission power allocation coefficients for the near and far users, respectively.  $P_{tot}$  represents the total transmit power which equals the sum of  $P_N$  and  $P_F$ . The received signal at the receivers are:

The operation of wavelength division multiplexing (WDM) networks involves not only the establishment of lightpaths, defining the sequence of optical fibres and the wavelength in each fibre for traffic flow, but also a fault management scheme in order to avoid the huge loss of data that can result from a single link failure. Dedicated path protection, which establishes two end-to-end disjoint routes between the source–destination node pair, is an effective scheme to preserve customers' connections<sup>1</sup>.

This paper reviews a bicriteria model for dedicated path protection, that obtains a topological path pair of node-disjoint routes for each lightpath request in a WDM network, developed by the authors. An extensive performance analysis of the bicriteria model is then presented, comparing the performance metrics obtained with the mono-criterion models using the same objective functions, in four different reference networks commonly used in literature.

$$\begin{aligned} L_{ln}(r) = & \overline{L_{ln}(r_0)} + 10 n \log \frac{r}{r_0} + X_\sigma \\ & + \frac{L_r(f, r_0 = 1 \text{ km})}{1 \text{ km}} r \\ & + \frac{L_{at}(f, r_0 = 1 \text{ km})}{1 \text{ km}} r + L_{fol}(f, r) [\text{dB}] \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $h_i$  denotes the channel coefficient between the BS and user  $UE_i$  and  $n_i$  represents the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) with zero mean and  $\sigma_i^2$  variance for  $UE_i$ . Let's assume the users are ordered using the CSI-based method at the receiver and the near user has a strong signal than of the far user, i.e.,  $\frac{|h_N|^2}{\sigma_N^2} \geq \frac{|h_F|^2}{\sigma_F^2}$ . Therefore, the SINR expression of the near user and far user are given by Eq. (1):

$$SNR_N = \frac{P_N |h_N|^2}{\sigma_N^2}, \quad (3)$$

Accordingly, the data rate for the near user and far user can be written as follows:

<sup>1</sup>Since only one “physical” base station exists so far (installed in the Gdynia harbor), the emulation of other stations was necessary. Otherwise, we would not be able to calculate the receiver's position, because at least three stations are required.

$$R_N = \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{P_N |h_N|^2}{\sigma_N^2} \right), \quad (4)$$

$$R_F = \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{P_F |h_F|^2}{P_N |h_N|^2 + \sigma_F^2} \right). \quad (5)$$

The radar cross section  $\sigma$  of an RIS element is modeled in this paper by a metallic sphere with a radius  $r$  as an idealized approach [1]–[2]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma}{\pi r^2} = & \frac{1}{k r} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n (2n+1) \\ & \cdot \left[ \left( \frac{k r J_n(k r) - n J_n(k r)}{k r H_{n-1}(k r) - n H_n^1(k r)} \right) - \left( \frac{J_n(k r)}{H_n^1(k r)} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where:  $r$  is the radius of the sphere,  $k = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda$  is the wavelength,  $J_n$  is the spherical Bessel function of the first kind of order  $n$ ,  $H_n^1$  is the Hankel function of order  $n$ , described by  $H_n^1(k r) = J_n(k r) + j Y_n(k r)$ , and  $Y_n$  is spherical Bessel function of the second kind of order  $n$ .

#### 3.1. Uplink Network

The high capacity of a single fibre in optical networks, however, has the drawback that a failure on a link can potentially lead to a huge amount of data loss (and revenue), and service disruption for a large number of customers.

In this scenario, network survivability becomes a critical concern for service providers (both in the network design phase and in the real-time network operation) and fast and efficient fault-recovery mechanisms are then needed to ensure a high degree of network resilience and minimize losses. Survivability of a network refers to the network capability to provide continuous service in the presence of failures.

### 4. Simulation

At an external PC, the baseband IQ OFDM signal based on IEEE802.11g standard is generated using Matlab code. The OFDM symbols are arranged in a few frames to be transmitted. At the beginning of each frame, some training symbols (preambles) are inserted, which are used for packet detection and frequency and time offset estimation. The time domain baseband signal is sent via LAN connection to the transmitter, in order to be up-converted and transmitted through the wireless channel.

The commands for controlling the power and the carrier frequency are sent to the transmitter before sending the baseband signal as given in Eq. (2).

On the other hand, the IQ data from the captured signal at the receiver is sent back to the external PC via the LAN for processing. Packet detection, frequency and time offset estimation and pilot based channel estimation are all accomplished using Matlab.

Our goal is to estimate the direction of arrival of  $L$  wideband sources using an ULA of  $M$  antennas supposing that all sources are uncorrelated and occur in the bandwidth between




Fig. 1. ULA geometry of  $M$  antennas [10].

the smallest  $\omega_s$  and the largest  $\omega_L$  source frequency. Thus, the received data at the  $m$ -th antenna are given as follows:

$$x_m(t) = \sum_{l=1}^L s_l(t - v_m \sin \theta_l) + n_m(t),$$

where  $s_l(t)$  is the  $l$ -th source,  $n_m(t)$  is an additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) at the  $m$ -th component,  $v_m = (m-1)d/c$ , where  $d$  is the distance between the components of ULA, and  $c$  is the velocity of light.  $\theta_l$  is the angle to be computed. The received data is split into  $K$  narrowband signals. Thus, the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) of the received signals at  $m$ -th element is:

$$x_m(\omega) = \sum_{l=1}^L s_l(\omega) e^{-j\omega v_m \sin \theta_l} + n_m(\omega).$$

The DFT output signals can then be represented in vector form [10]:

$$x(\omega_i) = A(\omega_i, \theta) s(\omega_i) + n(\omega_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, K, \quad (7)$$

The correlation matrix is calculated in the following manner [10]:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{xx}(\omega_i) &= E[x(\omega_i) x^H(\omega_i)] \\ &= A(\omega_i, \theta) R_{ss}(\omega_i) A^H(\omega_i, \theta) + \sigma_n^2 I, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $E[\cdot]$  is the expectation value operator,  $H$  is the Hermitian operator,  $R_{ss}(\omega_i) = E[s(\omega_i) s^H(\omega_i)]$ ,  $\sigma_n^2$  is the noise power, and  $I$  is the  $M \times M$  unit matrix. Supposing that  $R_{ss}(\omega_i)$  has a complete rank, the signal subspace  $F_s(\omega_i)$  and the noise subspace  $F_n(\omega_i)$  matrices at the frequency  $\omega_i$  can be generated using the eigen-values decomposition (EVD) or the singular value decomposition (SVD) of the correlation array as:

$$F_s(\omega_i) = [e_1(\omega_i), e_2(\omega_i), \dots, e_L(\omega_i)], \quad (9)$$

$$F_n(\omega_i) = [e_{L+1}(\omega_i), e_{L+2}(\omega_i), \dots, e_M(\omega_i)], \quad (10)$$

where  $e_1(\omega_i), \dots, e_M(\omega_i)$  are the perpendicular eigenvectors of  $R_{xx}(\omega_i)$ , ranked in decreasing order by their corresponding eigen-values as follows:

$$\lambda_1(\omega_i) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_L(\omega_i) \geq \lambda_{L+1}(\omega_i), \dots, \lambda_M(\omega_i) = \sigma_n^2. \quad (11)$$

## 5. Proposed Algorithm

Telecommunication networks are intrinsically multi-layered, a single failure at a lower level usually corresponds to a multi-failure scenario at an upper layer. In this context, the concept of shared risk link group (SRLG) allows an upper layer to select, for a given active path (AP), a backup path (BP), which avoids every SRLG that may involve the selected AP, in the event of a failure. That is a SRLG diverse path set maybe defined as a set of paths – see Eq. (4), between an origin and a destination, such that no pair of paths can be simultaneously affected by any given failure (or risk) in a single failure scenario.

Firstly we present the formulation of the SRLG diverse path pair calculation problem in a directed network. An algorithm for enumerating SRLG diverse paths, by non decreasing cost of their total (additive) cost will be presented, which is based on an algorithm proposed for generating minimal cost node disjoint path pairs. The SRLG diverse path pairs may be node or arc disjoint, with or without length constraints. Computational results will be presented to show the efficiency of the proposed algorithm for obtaining node or arc disjoint SRLG diverse path pairs in undirected networks.


## 6. Proposed Model

Rostami *et al.* [2] proposed an algorithm, named CoSE (conflicting SRLG exclusion), which is an extension to SRLG-disjoint routing of a link-disjoint routing algorithm called CoLE (conflicting link exclusion), proposed in [3], which can quickly find an optimal solution path pair. The CoSE algorithm iteratively separates the network SRLGs into two sets and then computes the working and backup paths.

Furthermore, in [5] the authors also propose a way of calculating two maximally SRLG diverse paths in a network where no two completely-disjoint paths exist. The CoSE algorithm can be used for solving the min-min problem, by selecting the appropriate solution from the set of generated solutions (although the optimality of the solution is not guaranteed).

## 7. Conclusion

Spectrum is a valuable and limited resource. Therefore for an operator, cost effective improvement in capacity is always an important goal. Capacity gain, both for voice and new data services, is crucial for an operator's competitiveness. It is possible to achieve significant capacity improvements in existing networks without deploying additional carrier and base stations or drafting new standards. By following proper *radio frequency* (RF) network planning and optimization techniques; CDMA operators would see immediate benefits



**Fig. 2.** Block diagram of proposed system.

**Tab. 2.** Analysis summary for SNR = 5 dB.

| Channel type | Metrics                    | Kernel-based spectrum sensing | DNN    | Cooperative reinforcement learning | Deep learning | Proposed Adam GD algorithm |
|--------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| Rayleigh     | Probability of detection   | 0.4704                        | 0.3889 | 0.3303                             | 0.5300        | 0.7099                     |
|              | Probability of false alarm | 0.7578                        | 0.5820 | 0.5173                             | 0.4423        | 0.3892                     |
| Rician       | Probability of detection   | 0.4563                        | 0.3772 | 0.3204                             | 0.5141        | 0.6886                     |
|              | Probability of false alarm | 0.7888                        | 0.5077 | 0.5759                             | 0.4578        | 0.4029                     |
| Gaussian     | Probability of detection   | 0.5967                        | 0.5105 | 0.5319                             | 0.6693        | 0.6909                     |
|              | Probability of false alarm | 0.7781                        | 0.4570 | 0.5723                             | 0.4656        | 0.4097                     |

on their network capacity. CDMA is a digital cellular technology that uses spread-spectrum technique. It does not assign a specific frequency to each user. Rather, every channel uses the full available spectrum. Individual conversations are encoded with a pseudo-random digital sequence.

The utilization of front-end characteristics may extend far beyond link-level optimization, providing new degrees of design freedom. The phenomenon of non-linearity should be considered while analyzing 5G and future wireless solutions on a system level. In this context, especially in a complex solution composed of multiple transmit antennas, multiple base stations that interfere with each other, and utilizing multistage processing, i.e. some proprietary traffic steering or scheduling algorithms, control and optimization are complicated. In such a case, no analytical models are available or they are highly simplified.

The flow chart of the basic PSO is depicted in Fig. 3.

## Appendix A: Proof of Lemma 1

Pivot selection is an important step in the above algorithm, since it exerts a direct impact on how many sub-problems can be generated in the subsequent step. To date, in most of the approaches random pivot detection is used. Several other methods have been proposed as well.

**Tab. 3.** Comparison of the proposed scheme with other rule-mining methods and metrics.

| D1 dataset |          |                            |                           |
|------------|----------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Metrics    | CIAO-TME | Proposed with existing ARM | Proposed with no CIAO-TME |
| Fitness    | 0.0429   | 0.8012                     | 0.1987                    |
| HR         | 0.6859   | 0.5573                     | 0.5573                    |
| IPR        | 244      | 108                        | 108                       |
| DOM        | 0.7486   | 1                          | 1                         |
| D2 dataset |          |                            |                           |
| Metrics    | CIAO-TME | Proposed with existing ARM | Proposed with no CIAO-TME |
| Fitness    | 0.1638   | 0.597                      | 1.097                     |
| HR         | 1        | 0.9324                     | 0.7891                    |
| IPR        | 174      | 5                          | 54                        |
| DOM        | 0.7589   | 1                          | 1                         |
| D3 dataset |          |                            |                           |
| Metrics    | CIAO-TME | Proposed with existing ARM | Proposed with no CIAO-TME |
| Fitness    | 0.4263   | 0.9637                     | 0.5495                    |
| HR         | 1        | 0.8624                     | 0                         |
| IPR        | 458      | 0                          | 411                       |
| DOM        | 0.4823   | 1                          | 0.9951                    |

## Acknowledgments

The presented work has been funded by the National Science Centre under the project (no. 2021/43/B/ST7/012345) of the PUSO European Scientific program.

**Algorithm 1** ROHAS-TBAC Initial Setup**Input:** System Parameters**Output:** Pkey and Mkey**Start**


```

1: Randomly choose  $S$  and  $\beta$  over  $Z$ 
2: for each attributes in  $U$  do
3:   Randomly choose  $L$  in  $G$ 
4: end for
5: Compute,  $X = e(g, g)^\alpha$  and  $Y = g^\beta$ 
6: for  $i=1$  to  $T$  do
7:   Randomly select  $vt_i$  over  $Zp$ 
8:   Compute  $vt'_i = g^{vt_i}$ 
9: end for
10: if  $i \geq 5$  then
11:    $i \leftarrow i - 1$ 
12: else
13:   if  $i \leq 3$  then
14:      $i \leftarrow i + 2$ 
15:   end if
16: end if
17: while  $N \neq 0$  do
18:   if  $N$  is even then
19:      $X \leftarrow X \times X$ 
20:      $N \leftarrow \frac{N}{2}$  ▷ This is a comment
21:   else if  $N$  is odd then
22:      $y \leftarrow y \times X$ 
23:      $N \leftarrow N - 1$ 
24:   end if
25: end while
26: for  $k=1$  to  $2m-2$  do
27:   Randomly choose  $ar_k$  over  $Zp$ 
28:   Compute  $ar'_k = g^{ar_k}$ 
29: end for

```

**End****References**

- [1] M. Amjad, M.H. Rehmani, and S. Mao, "Wireless Multimedia Cognitive Radio Networks: A Comprehensive Survey", *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 1056–1103, 2018 (<https://doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2018.2794358>).
- [2] Y. Chen and H.S. Oh, "A Survey of Measurement-based Spectrum Occupancy Modeling for Cognitive Radios", *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 848–859, 2014 (<https://doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2014.2364316>).
- [3] J. Lunden, V. Koivunen, and H.V. Poor, "Spectrum Exploration and Exploitation for Cognitive Radio: Recent Advances", *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 123–140, 2015 (<https://doi.org/10.1109/MSP.2014.2338894>).
- [4] C. Liu, J. Wang, X. Liu, and Y.C. Liang, "Deep CM-CNN for Spectrum Sensing in Cognitive Radio", *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 37, no. 10, pp. 2306–2321, 2019 (<https://doi.org/10.1109/JSAC.2019.2933892>).
- [5] Y. Ma, Y. Gao, Y.C. Liang, and S. Cui, "Reliable and Efficient Sub-Nyquist Wideband Spectrum Sensing in Cooperative Cognitive Radio Networks", *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 2750–2762, 2016 (<https://doi.org/10.1109/JSAC.2016.2605998>).
- [6] G. Eappen and T. Shankar, "Multi-Objective Modified Grey Wolf Optimization Algorithm for Efficient Spectrum Sensing in the Cognitive

**Fig. 3.** Particle swarm optimization flow chart.

Radio Network", *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 3115–3145, 2021 (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-020-05084-3>).

- [7] G. Eappen and T. Shankar, "Hybrid PSO-GSA for energy efficient spectrum sensing in cognitive radio network", *Physical Communication*, vol. 40, art. no. 101091, 2020 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phycom.2020.101091>).
- [8] H.A. Shah and I. Koo, "Reliable machine learning based spectrum sensing in cognitive radio networks", *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, vol. 2018, art. no. 5906097, 2018 (<https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/5906097>).
- [9] H. He and H. Jiang, "Deep Learning Based Energy Efficiency Optimization for Distributed Cooperative Spectrum Sensing", *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 32–39, 2019 (<https://doi.org/10.1109/MWC.2019.1800397>).

**John Smith, Pd.D., Assistant Professor**

University Department Name, Chair if needed etc.

<https://orcid.org/0000-0000-0000-0000>

E-mail: email to author 1

University name, City, Country

<https://www.university.website>**Jane Doe, Professor**

University Department Name, Chair if needed

<https://orcid.org/0000-0000-0000-0001>

E-mail: jane@doe.com

University name, City, Country

<https://www.university.website>

University of Hogwarts Legacy, City, Country

<https://www.university.website>